## Request for Comments -- Site Review TCEQ -- Air Permits Division Phone: (512) 239-1250

Fax: (512) 239-1300

Submitted by:	Air Permits Initial Review Team
---------------	---------------------------------

TO: Region: 4 City: Dallas County: Dallas

Date Request Submitted: January 9, 2009 Date Response Requested:

Comments: Deadline is 45 days for MSS-type reviews, 21 calendar days for all others, from the Date Request Submitted. Section Manager approval is required for responses requested sooner than those deadlines. MSS = an NSR application for Planned Maintenance, Start-up, or Shutdown emissions in accordance with 30 TAC Chapter 101.

Date Application Received by Air Permit Initial Review Team: December 19, 2008

REGIONAL OFFICES: Please return comments to the appropriate Permitting Team Leader indicated on the following page ASAP, but no later than deadline established above. Permit disposition will proceed after comments are received or after the comments deadline has passed.

REQUESTED	PERMIT	<b>ACTION:</b>
-----------	--------	----------------

MSS Construction	MSS Amendment	Revision		
Construction	X Amendment	Other		
Renewal	Renewal Abbreviated Review			

Project No.: 143272 PERMIT No.: 7711A

TCEQ Account No (if applicable): DB-0378-S

Regulated Entity No.: RN100788959 Customer No.: CN602717464

**Company Name: Building Materials Corporation Of America** 

Plant Name: Gaf Materials | City: Dallas | County: Dallas

**Location: 2600 Singleton Blvd** 

**Unit Name: Asphalt Roofing Production Facility** 

Technical Contact: Doug Harris Phone: (214) 637-8909

Local Program Applicable?: X Yes No Local Programs: Dallas

Note: For sites in a region that has a local program with jurisdiction, MSS projects for those

sites will be reviewed by regional offices only.

## Request for Comments -- Site Review RESPONSE

## PLEASE SEND COMMENTS TO THE PERSON IDENTIFIED BELOW. (To avoid delays, please do not send this back to the Air Permits Initial Review Team.):

<u>dc</u>	not send this back to the A	۱ir ا	<u>Permits Initial Revie</u>	w Tea	<u>ım.):</u>		•		
	To: Stephanie Howell - Air Permits Division – Austin				E-Mail: showell			Phone: (512) 239M/A 1560	(۱
X	To: Mike Gould - Air Permits Divis	sion	- Austin		E-Mail: mgould			Phone: (512) 239M/C 1097	:)
	To: Erik Hendrickson - Air Permits	s Div	vision - Austin		E-Mail: ehendric			Phone: (512) 2 <b>(39</b> emb) 1095	))
	To: Mike Coldiron - Air Permits Di	visio	on - Austin		E-Mail: mcoldiro		diro	Phone: (512) 23@Goat 5027	t)
	To: Dana Vermillion- Air Permits [	Divis	sion - Austin (Chem)		E-Mail : dpoppa		ра	Phone: (512) 239- 1280	
	То:	E-Mail: Phone:			Fax:(512) 239-1300				
FF	FROM: Region: 4 City: Dallas					Co	unty: E	Dallas	
			Compliance:			Leç	gal:		_
С	ppy of Application Received b	у ус	our Office: YES _	NO	)	•			
Da	ate Received:								
PE	ERMIT No. 7711A			PRO	JECT N	lo. 1	43272		
TC	CEQ ACCOUNT NUMBER: [	DB-	0378-S						
Co	ompany Name: Building Ma	ater	ials Corporation Of	Amer	ica				
In	vestigator's/Compliance Office	er's	Name (Please Print)	•					
Oı	Organization:					Phor	ie:		
Comments Deadline:									
Da	Date of Last Site Visit:								
SI	TE INFORMATION:				1				
Nuisance/Odor Potential: Low Moderate High									
Hazard Potential: Low Moderate High									
Surrounding Land Use:									
School within 3,000 feet? Yes No									
Distance to Nearest Off-Property Receptor:									
Receptor Type: School Residence Other									
Distance from unit to nearest property line:									
Describe area surrounding nearest receptor:									

NOV INFORMATION (concerning affected process unit):				
Type of Site: Existing Similar in Texas Similar in U.S.				
NOV Issued? No Yes	Date:			
Type of Violation:				
Please provide any information the permit engineer needs concerning the current NOV status				
Summarize any recent complaints related to this facility:				
Recommendation based on Compliance History: (*For Compliance Use Only)				
Proceed with Permit Review Additional Provisions Deny Permit	Update Application			
SITE REVIEW:				
In light of the proximity of sensitive receptors and the surrounding land use, please discuss any concerns you have concerning a facility of this type locating at the proposed site.				
MSS Specific Notes:				
The following MSS activities in the application are insufficient or incons MSS at the facility, and why:	istent with our knowledge of			
The following activities are typically considered planned MSS and are not found in the application. These activities should be added or addressed:				